

**OBSTRUCTION FOR LLP OF FULL GROUP  $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS,  
AFTER IOANA, SPAAS & WIERSMA.**

CLÉMENT DELL'AIERA

ABSTRACT. This note surveys the talk given on December 17th, 2020 for the *Lifting for  $C^*$ -algebras seminar* ran at UMPA, ENS Lyon.

CONTENTS

|    |   |   |
|----|---|---|
| 1. | Projective characterization of property (T)       | 1 |
| 2. | Almost unitary projective representations and LLP | 2 |
| 3. | Example   | 3 |
|    | References  | 3 |

We will present theorem A of [1]. The goal is to show that, in possession of a family of finite dimensional projective representations with asymptotically trivial cocycles, LLP implies the existence of invariant vectors for carefully built representations with same cocycles. Using a projective characterization of property (T) from [3], one can deduce from relative property (T) that the cocycles are ultimately coboundaries. This provides an obstruction for LLP of the full  $C^*$ -algebra of  $\mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ .

1. PROJECTIVE CHARACTERIZATION OF PROPERTY (T)

Let  $G$  be a discrete countable group. We denote by  $\mathbb{P}U(n)$  the quotient of the unitary group  $U(n)$  by  $\mathbb{T} = U(1)$ .

**Definition 1.1.** A projective representation is given by a group morphism  $G \rightarrow \mathbb{P}U(n)$ .

Any projective representation lifts to a map  $\phi : G \rightarrow U(n)$  such that there exists a map  $c : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  satisfying

$$\phi(s)\phi(t) = c(s, t)\phi(st) \quad \forall s, t \in G.$$

Writing the product of three elements in two different ways, we get that the relation

$$c(g, h)c(gh, k) = c(g, hk)c(h, k) \quad \forall g, h, k \in G$$

is satisfied. This is what is called the cocycle relation. If  $c(s, t) = b(s)b(t)\overline{b(st)}$  for some map  $b : G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ , then  $g \mapsto \overline{b(g)}\phi(g)$  is a unitary lift of  $G \rightarrow \mathbb{P}U(n)$ . Such a cocycle is called a coboundary. In a similar manner, two projective representations whose cocycle differ by a coboundary are unitarily equivalent.

Given a cocycle  $c \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$ , one can always build a projective representation on  $\ell^2(G)$  with this cocycle by defining

$$\lambda(g)\delta_s = c(g, s)\delta_{gs}.$$

We will refer to this representation as the regular  $c$ -representation.

**Definition 1.2.** The cohomology group  $H^2(G, \mathbb{T})$  is defined as the quotient of cocycles  $Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$  by the subgroup of coboundaries  $B^2(G, \mathbb{T})$ . It classifies projective representations in the sense that the class of their cocycle determines their unitary equivalence class.

Recall that, if  $\Lambda < G$  is a subgroup, the pair  $(\Lambda, G)$  is said to have (relative) property (T) if any unitary representation that has almost invariant vectors has a genuine nonzero invariant vector. We can give a characterization of property (T) by projective representations.

If  $\rho : G \rightarrow U(H)$  and  $\sigma \rightarrow U(K)$  are two projective representations with cocycles  $c_\rho$  and  $c_\sigma$ , then  $\rho \otimes \sigma : G \rightarrow U(H \otimes K)$  is also a projective representation with cocycle  $c_\rho c_\sigma$ . The contragredient representation  $H^\vee$  is a projective representation with cocycle  $\bar{c}_\rho$ .

In particular, the Hilbert-Schmidt operators on a projective representation

$$HS(H) = \{T \in B(H) : \text{Tr}(T^*T) < \infty\} \cong H^\vee \otimes H$$

is a unitary representation.

**Lemma 1.3** (lemma 1.1 [3]). *The pair  $(\Lambda, G)$  has (T) if there exists a finite set  $F \subset G$  and a positive number  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that, for any projective representation  $\phi : G \rightarrow U(H)$  with cocycle  $c \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$ , for every vector such that*

$$\sup_{g \in F} d(g \cdot \xi, \mathbb{C}\xi) < \varepsilon$$

*then there exist  $\xi_0 \neq 0$  and  $b \in Z^1(G, \mathbb{T})$  satisfying*

- $\|\xi - \xi_0\| < \varepsilon$ ,
- $\rho(\lambda)\xi_0 = b(\lambda)\xi_0$  and  $\partial b = c$ .

*In particular, the restriction  $c|_\Lambda$  is a coboundary in  $B^2(\Lambda, \mathbb{T})$ .*

*Proof.* From the almost projectively invariant vector, we get an almost invariant vector  $T = \xi^\vee \otimes \xi$ : there exists a  $\Lambda$ -invariant  $T_0 \in HS(H)$  such that  $\|T - T_0\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$ . As  $T_0^*T_0$  is  $\Lambda$ -invariant, all its spectral projections also are. One of these must satisfy  $\|p - T\| < \varepsilon$ , hence (if small enough),  $p$  is unitarily equivalent to  $T$ , a rank one projection, i.e. there exists a non zero  $\xi_0 \in H$  with  $p = \xi_0^\vee \otimes \xi_0$ . Invariance of  $p$  gives easily the 1-cocycle.  $\square$

## 2. ALMOST UNITARY PROJECTIVE REPRESENTATIONS AND LLP

Let us call a family of finite dimensional projective representations with cocycles converging pointwise to 1 a *almost unitary* family. One of the key ideas of [1] is to use *almost unitary* families to build  $*$ -homomorphisms in QWEP  $C^*$ -algebras.

Let  $\omega$  be a non-principal ultrafilter on  $\mathbb{N}$ , and define  $B$  to be the product  $C^*$ -algebra  $\prod_n M_{d_n}$ ,  $J^\omega$  to be the closed ideal  $\{x \in B : \lim_\omega \tau_n(x_n^*x_n) = 0\}$  and

$$B^\omega = B/J^\omega.$$

We will show that  $B^\omega$  is QWEP, thus Kirchberg's theorem (see [2]) ensures that any ucp map from a separable LLP  $C^*$ -algebra to  $B^\omega$  ucp lifts.

If  $\phi_n : G \rightarrow U(d_n)$  is an almost unitary family, as

$$\|\phi_n(s)\phi_n(t) - \phi_n(st)\|_{2, \tau_n} = |c_n(s, t) - 1| \rightarrow 0,$$

the map  $g \mapsto (\phi_n(g))_n \in B$  defines a multiplicative map, thus defines a  $*$ -homomorphism

$$C^*(G) \rightarrow B^\omega.$$

**Proposition 2.1** (Theorem A [1]). *Let  $\phi_n$  be an almost unitary family of finite dimensional projective representations. If  $C^*(G)$  has the LLP, and there is a subgroup  $\Lambda < G$  such that  $(\Lambda, G)$  has (T), then the restrictions of the cocycles to  $\Lambda$  are coboundaries:*

$$[c|_{\Lambda}] \in B^2(\Lambda, \mathbb{T}).$$

*Proof.* Let us show that we can build projective representations with same cocycle, that admits almost invariant vectors. Since  $C^*G$  has the LLP, the associated  $*$ -morphism  $\phi : C^*G \rightarrow B^{\omega}$  lifts to a ucp map

$$\Psi : C^*G \rightarrow B.$$

Evaluating at the  $n^{\text{th}}$ -spot, we get  $\lim_{\omega} \|\Psi_n(g) - \phi_n(g)\|_2 = 0$ . Apply Stinespring theorem to lift  $\Psi_n$  to a genuine representation

$$\rho_n : C^*G \rightarrow B(\tilde{H}_n)$$

such that  $\Psi_n(g) = p_n \rho_n(g) p_n$  for the projection  $p_n : \tilde{H}_n \rightarrow H_n$ . We consider the representation  $\rho_n^{\vee} \otimes \phi_n$  on  $\tilde{H}_n^{\vee} \otimes H_n$ : it is a projective representation with cocycle  $c_n$ . Then

$$\frac{\|g \cdot p_n - p_n\|_{2,Tr}}{\|p_n\|_{2,Tr}} = 2(1 - \operatorname{Re} \tau_n(\Psi_n(g)^* \rho_n(g))) \leq 2\|\Psi_n(g) - \phi_n(g)\|_{2,\tau_n} \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus the representation  $HS(\tilde{H}_n, H_n)$ , a projective representation with cocycle  $c_n$ , has almost invariant vectors. The lemma above ensures that the restricted cocycles are coboundaries by property (T).  $\square$

### 3. EXAMPLE

On  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^2$ , define  $c(x, y) = \det(x|y)$  and  $c_n(x, y) = e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}c(x,y)}$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be a non amenable subgroup of  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ , and  $G = \Lambda \rtimes \Gamma$ . Extend  $c_n$  to  $G$  by

$$c_n(g, g') = c_n(x, \gamma \cdot x') \quad \forall g = (x, \gamma), g' = (x', \gamma') \in G.$$

Then these cocycles factorize through the finite subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes SL(2, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ , image of  $G$  under the quotient map. We can compose the regular  $c_n$ -representations with the quotient map to get an almost unitary family of finite dimensional projective representation

$$G \rightarrow U(\ell^2(G(n))).$$

On an abelian group, any coboundary is symmetric, and the  $c_n$  are antisymmetric. The cocycle restricted to  $\Lambda$  cannot be coboundaries and the pair has property (T), thus  $C^*(G)$  cannot have LLP.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Adrian Ioana, Pieter Spaas, and Matthew Wiersma. Cohomological obstructions to lifting properties for full  $C^*$ -algebras of property (t) groups. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 30(5):1402–1438, 2020.
- [2] Eberhard Kirchberg. On non-semisplit extensions, tensor products and exactness of group  $C^*$ -algebras. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 112(1):449–489, 1993.
- [3] Remus Nicoara, Sorin Popa, and Roman Sasyk. On iii factors arising from 2-cocycles of w-rigid groups. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 242(1):230–246, 2007.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UMPA, ENS LYON 46 ALLÉE D'ITALIE 69342 LYON CEDEX 07 FRANCE

*Email address:* clement.dellaiera@ens-lyon.fr